DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6454

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6** (4): 744-750 (2018)



Research Article



Standardisation of Agro-Techniques for Optimum Vegetative Parameters in Ornamental Sunflower

Kirtimala B. Naik^{*}, Nataraj S. K., D. P. Kumar, Y. G. Shadakshari, Seetharamu G. B., Venugopalan R. and K. V. Jayaprasad

> College of Horticulture, GKVK, Campus, Bengaluru, UHS, Bagalkot *Corresponding Author E-mail: kirtiflori@gmail.com Received: 1.05.2018 | Revised: 28.06.2018 | Accepted: 4.07.2018

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at College of Horticulture, GKVK campus, Bengaluru, UHS, Bagalkot to study the vegetative parameters with different agrotechniques in ornamental sunflower. Plant height was highest with 162.43 cm. For ornamental crops minimum plant height with optimum quality of flowers is preferred. The treatment combination of M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) recorded highest plant spread 4642.97 cm². M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_1 (40:60:40 NPK kg ha⁻¹), produced highest number of leaves (26.93). Maximum leaf area 5328.23 cm² was with M_1 (with mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹). From the present investigation for better vegetative growth mulching can be followed with lower to higher spacing with higher fertilizer dose. But as higher fertilizer dose and mulching will increase the cost of cultivation for this short duration crop optimum agrotechniques to be followed would be M_2 (without mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) + F_2 (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹).

Key words: Ornamental, Mulching, Spacing, Fertilizers, Vegetative

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is native to North America and belongs to the family *Compositae*. In the early ninetees, sunflower regained popularity as a cut flower crop. Historically sunflower was first used as a garden plant, then as a flowering pot plant and more recently as a specialty cut flower. The type of flowers grown for the specialty cut flower market are usually field grown flowers with poor shipping characteristics. This crop is very easy to grow and has wide adaptability. In any crop, genotypes, soil, cultural practices and their interactions exert profound influence on productivity and quality of crops. However, it is not possible to manipulate the environment for better crop growth, but one can manipulate the micro climate of the field to certain extent by adopting suitable cultural practices. Crop production and the resultant yield is a complex phenomenon interacted by several factors.

Cite this article: Naik, K.B., Nataraj, S.K., Kumar, D.P., Shadakshari, Y.G., Seetharamu, G.B., Venugopalan, R. and Jayaprasad, K.V., Standardisation of Agro-Techniques for Optimum Vegetative Parameters in Ornamental Sunflower, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6**(4): 744-750 (2018). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6454

Naik *et al*

In the present investigation an attempt was made to identify the best genotypes suitable for ornamental cut flower production in sunflower and to study the impact of agrotechniques on quality parameters in ornamental sunflower. The yield can be manipulated by taking advantage of their combined actions. Hence three factors viz., plastic mulching, spacing and fertilizer levels were studied in the present experiment.

Review of literature

In marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) cv. Pusa narangi gainda, combination of higher dose of fertilizers (300:150:150 kg NPK/ha) and black polythene mulching produced significantly maximum plant height (137.46 cm), maximum number of branches (33.33) per plant Gavhane et al.⁴. Among interactions S_2 (45 x 30 cm) with F₃ (225:150:75 kg NPK/ha) recorded highest flower diameter (9.08 cm) in china aster cv. Kamini². Plant height (61.83 cm) and plant spread (678.68 cm²) in china aster were highest under fertigation with 120 per cent of recommended dose of fertilizer with 50 (μ) thickness black polythene mulch¹⁵. Hemalatha⁵ reported that in Gomphrena globosa, T₄ with spacing (30 x 30 cm + 216: 72: 72 NPK kg ha⁻ produced high statured plant (69.17 cm) and produced more number of branches per plant (12.00). Spacing of 30 x 20 cm + single row + mulching gave maximum plant height of 68.73 cm in rabi season with maximum number of leaves (9.27) and leaf area of 159.70 cm²⁶.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted at College of Horticulture, GKVK campus, Bengaluru, UHS, Bagalkot. Split Split Plot design was followed by adopting Fisher's method of analysis of variance technique as given by Panse and Sukhatamane by using SAS package V9-3 available at statistical cell, IIHR. The experiment consisted of three replications and eighteen treatments. The experiment consisted of main factor, sub factor and sub sub factor.

Main factor: Mulching 1) Plastic mulch 50 (µ) (M1) 2) Without mulch (M2)

Sub factor: Spacing (cm) 1) 60 cm x 40 cm (S₁) 2) 60 cm x 30 cm (S₂) 3) 60 cm x 20 cm (S₃)

Copyright © July-August, 2018; IJPAB

Sub-Sub factor: Fertilizers (NPK kg/ha) 1) 40:60:40 kg/ha (F1) 2) 60:75:60 kg/ha (F2) 3) 80:90:80 kg/ha (F3)

The experiment was laid out with the above stated factors into plots measuring 6.72 sq.mts each with 4 rows in each plot of 2.8 mts length and 2.4 mts width with 37.33 plants in each plot. Minimum distance of 60 cm was maintained between the plots. There were totally 54 plots. Basal dose of 50% Nitrogen in the form of urea + full dose of Phosphorous (SSP) & Potassium (MOP) were applied at the time of sowing and top dressing of 50% Nitrogen was taken up at 30-35 days after sowing. After sowing, plastic mulch (25 μ) was applied to the plots where ever mulching treatment was applicable. Irrigation was days before sowing provided 2 and immediately after sowing and thereafter at 8-10 days interval and 45 days after sowing earthing-up was done to the crop.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height, plant spread, number of leaves and leaf area were significantly higher with M_1 (with mulch) as compared to M_2 (without mulch). Mulching might have helped plants in obtaining optimum growth through controlling of weeds, conserving soil moisture and optimum soil temperature Table 1. The present findings are in accordance with Yathindra¹⁵ in China aster.

Plant height was highest with S_3 (60) cm x 20 cm) 144.75 cm. It may be attributed to the intra plant competition for light, moisture, space and aeration (Table 1). Similar results were also reported by Sunitha¹³ in marigold and Yathindr¹⁵ in China aster.

Spacing of plants at S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) favoured increased plant spread 4092.59 cm². Similar results were also reported bv Hemalatha⁵ in gomphrena which may be attributed to increased area for plant growth and spread. (Table 1)

Spacing of plants at S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) resulted in maximum number of leaves (7.24). Similarly spacing of plants at S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) resulted in increased leaf area (71 cm²). (Table 1) It may be attributed to the more area for plant development resulting in more number of leaves per plant. Similar

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

results were also reported by Kumari⁶ in gladiolus and Mane *et al.*⁷ in tuberose.

Application of fertilizers at F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) increased the plant height, plant spread and leaf area. Similar results were also reported by Dorajirao³ in garland chrysanthemum and Munikrishnappa⁸ in china aster.

Treatment combination of mulching and spacing *viz;* M_1 (with mulch) + S_3 (60 cm x 20 cm) 149.82 cm revealed highest plant height among all the combinations. Similar results were also reported by Bhattacharjee and Vinayananda (1989) in dahlia, and Yathindra¹⁵ in china aster. The treatment combination of M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) 4233.23 cm² followed by M_1 (with mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) 3990.52 cm², M_2 (without mulch) S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) 3951.95 cm² and M_1 (with mulch) S_3 (60 cm x 20 cm) 3839.52 cm² produced maximum plant spread. (Table 2)These findings are in line with Huh and Kim (1994) in carnation.

Maximum leaf area among all the treatments was recorded with M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm), M_2 (without mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm), M_1 (with mulch) + S_3 (60 cm x 20 cm) and M_1 (with mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm). (Table 2) These findings are in line with Yathindra¹⁵.

The treatment combinations of M_1 (with mulch) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) favoured highest plant height 156.41cm. Similarly M_1 (with mulch) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) followed by F_2 (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹) produced maximum plant spread. (Table 3) Similar results were reported by Oyinlola *et al.*⁹ in sunflower and Munikrishnappa⁸ in china aster.

Maximum leaf area 233.02 cm² was recorded in the mulched plants at higher level of fertilizers F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹). (Table 3) Increased leaf area might be due to factors such as soil moisture conservation by mulching, less competition by weeds, optimum level of nutrition uptake which may have led to promote cell division and cell elongation eventually leading to more leaf area. These findings are in line with Gavhane *et al.*⁴ and Yathindra¹⁵. The treatment combinations of S_3 (60 cm x 20 cm) F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) favoured highest plant height 154.69 cm. (Table 4) The results show that the closer to optimum spacing with higher fertilizer dose increased plant height. These results are in line with Hemalatha⁵ in gomphrena.

While plant spread was highest with the treatment combination of S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) 4528.45 cm². (Table 4) The results are in conformity with the findings Hemalatha⁵ in gomphrena and Sushma *et al.*¹⁴ in heliconia.

Among all the treatments, combinations of S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_1 (40:60:40 NPK kg ha⁻¹), F₂ (60:75:60 NPK kg ha^{-1}) and F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha^{-1}) produced maximum number of leaves (26.77, 26.10 and 26.00 respectively) (Table 4). The increase in the number of leaves may be attributed to the effective nutrient uptake at this spacing thereby stimulating auxillary buds resulting in more number of leaves irrespective of spacing levels. While maximum leaf area was recorded at S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) spacing with higher level of fertilizers F₃ (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹). Similar results were reported by Siddanagowda¹², Shekhawat *et al.*¹¹ and Qahar *et al.*¹⁰ in sunflower.

The three way interaction results between mulching, spacing and fertilizer levels on plant height at 60 DAS recorded maximum plant height with M_1 (with mulch) + S_3 (60 cm x 20 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) 162.43 cm in comparison to other levels of interaction (Table 5). For ornamental crops minimum plant height with optimum quality of flowers is preferred. In the present investigation minimum plant height was recorded by the treatment without mulch with recommended spacing of 60 cm x 30 cm and recommended dose of fertilizer of (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹).

The treatment combination of M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) recorded highest plant spread recording 4642.97 cm² which was at par M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_2 (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M_1 (with mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M_1 (with

Copyright © July-August, 2018; IJPAB

Naik *et al*

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

mulch) + S₃ (60 cm x 20 cm) + F₃ (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M₂ (without mulch) + S₁ (60 cm x 40 cm) + F₃ (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) and M₂ (without mulch) + S₁ (60 cm x 40 cm) + F₂ (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹) 4642.97, 4597.39, 4558.80, 4508.39, 4413.93 and 4341.19 cm² respectively (Table 5). With the increase in height and plant spread there is increase in internodal length but not the number of flowers, Qahar *et al.*¹⁰ in sunflower.

The treatment combinations of M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_1 (40:60:40 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M_2 (without mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_1 (40:60:40 NPK kg ha⁻¹), M_1 (with mulch) + S_1 (60 cm x 40 cm) + F_2 (60:75:60 NPK kg ha⁻¹),
$$\begin{split} &M_2 \ (\text{without mulch}) + S_1 \ (60 \ \text{cm x } 40 \ \text{cm}) + F_2 \\ &(60.75:60 \ \text{NPK kg ha}^{-1}), \ M_2 \ (\text{without mulch}) + \\ &S_1 \ (60 \ \text{cm x } 40 \ \text{cm}) + F_3 \ (80:90:80 \ \text{NPK kg ha}^{-1}) \\ &\text{and } M_1 (\text{with mulch}) + S_3 \ (60 \ \text{cm x } 20 \ \text{cm}) + \\ &F_3 \ (80:90:80 \ \text{NPK kg ha}^{-1}) \\ &\text{produced plants} \\ &\text{with highest number of leaves} \ (26.93, \ 26.80, \\ &26.60, \ 26.13, \ 25.87, \ 25.80 \ \text{and} \ 25.80 \ (\text{Table 5}). \end{split}$$

Maximum leaf area 5328.23 cm² was recorded with M_1 (with mulch) + S_2 (60 cm x 30 cm) + F_3 (80:90:80 NPK kg ha⁻¹) as compared to other interactions (Table 5). Results pertaining to improvement of growth parameters with treatment combinations of mulching, spacing and fertilizer levels were reported by Yathindra¹⁵ in china aster; Shekhawat *et al.*¹¹ and Qahar *et al.*¹⁰ in sunflower.

Cable 1. Individual effect of mulching, spacing and fertilizer levels on
plant height at different stages of crop growth.

	- Mulching levels	At 60 DAS									
(Main Factor)		Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm ²)	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm ²)						
M ₁	With mulch	144.08	4021.22	25.02	4005.71						
M_2	Without mulch	135.69	3214.01	24.11	3063.98						
	CD (P=0.05)	1.85	115.62	0.73	129.86						
	F-test	*	*	*	*						

Spacing levels (Sub factor)		At 60 DAS								
		Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm ²)	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm ²)					
S ₁	60 cm x 40 cm	136.28	4092.59	26.29	4183.63					
S_2	60 cm x 30 cm	138.62	3611.46	24.06	3402.78					
S ₃	60 cm x 20 cm	144.75	3148.79	23.36	3018.12					
	CD (<i>P</i> =0.05)	1.49	169.89	0.55	301.10					
	F-test	*	*	*	*					

Fertilizer levels (Sub-sub factor)		At 60 DAS									
		Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm ²)	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm ²)						
F ₁	40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	133.51	2977.27	24.58	3083.65						
\mathbf{F}_2	60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	136.50	3765.07	24.32	3354.75						
F ₃	80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	149.64	4110.51	25.11	4166.14						
	CD (<i>P</i> =0.05)	1.43	115.68	0.87	146.18						
	F-test	*	*	NS	*						

* - Significant at P = 0.05

NS - Non significant at P = 0.05

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (4): 744-750 (2018) ISSN: 2320 – 7051

Table 2. Effect of different levels of mulching with spacing on vegetative parameters in ornamental sunflower

	At 60 DAS											
Mulching x Spacing (Main factor x Sub	Plant height (cm)		Mean	Plant spread (cm)		Mean	Number of leaves		Mean	Leaf area cm ²		Mean
factor)	(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch		(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch		(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch		(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch	
(S1) 60 cm x 40 cm	139.19	133.37	139.19	3916.69	3752.52	3834.60	26.62	25.96	26.29	4194.87	4172.39	4183.63
(S2) 60 cm x 30 cm	143.23	134.01	143.23	3754.38	3172.34	3463.36	24.78	23.33	24.73	3865.08	2940.49	3402.78
(S ₃) 60 cm x 20 cm	149.82	139.68	149.82	3798.25	2397.85	3098.05	23.67	23.04	23.60	3957.18	2079.07	3018.12
Mean	144.08	135.69	144.08	3823.10	3107.57	3465.34	25.02	24.73	24.87	4005.71	3063.98	3534.84
CD (P=0.05) to compare Mulching treatments at same level of spacing	2.11			240.26			1.06			425.82		
F-test		*		*			NS			*		
CD (P=0.05) to compare Mulching treatments at same or different levels of spacing	2.25			216.80			0.88			362.86		
F-test	*			*			NS			*		
* - Significant at $P = 0.05$ NS - Non significant at $P = 0.05$												

Table 3. Effect of different levels of mulching with fertilizers on vegetative parameters in ornamental sunflower

		At 60 DAS											
Mulching x Fertilizers	Plant height (cm)		Mean	Plant spread (cm ²)		Moon	Number of leaves		Maan	Leaf area cm ²		Moon	
(Main factor x Sub factor)	(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch	Witan	(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch	Witan	(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch		(M ₁) With mulch	(M ₂) Without mulch	incali	
(S ₁) 60 cm x 40 cm	136.49	130.53	133.51	3209.56	2744.98	2977.27	25.64	25.02	24.58	3507.84	2659.45	3083.65	
(S ₂) 60 cm x 30 cm	139.35	133.65	136.50	4284.05	3246.08	3765.07	24.11	23.91	24.69	4007.16	2702.34	3354.75	
(S ₃) 60 cm x 20 cm	156.41	142.87	149.64	4570.05	3650.96	4110.51	25.31	24.91	25.36	4502.12	3830.16	4166.14	
Mean	144.08	135.69	139.89	4021.22	3214.01	3617.61	25.02	24.73	24.87	4005.71	3063.98	3534.84	
CD (<i>P</i> =0.05) to compare Mulching treatments at same level of spacing	ching 2.02			163.59			0.70			206.72			
F-test		*		*			NS			*			
CD (P=0.05) to compare Mulching treatments at same or different levels of spacing 2.68			197.69			0.99			241.43				
F-test *			*			NS			*				
L	*	· - Significar	nt at P - (05	NS - No	n significar	nt at P -	0.05		1			

Significant at P = 0.05NS - Non significant at P = 0.05

Table 4. Effect of different levels of mulching with fertilizers on vegetative parameters in ornamental sunflower

	At 60 DAS															
Spacing x Fertilizer (Sub factor x	Plant height (cm)				Plant spread (cm ²)			Number of leaves				Leaf area (cm ²)				
Sub-sub factor)	(S ₁) 60x40 cm	(S ₂) 60x30 cm	(S ₃) 60x20 cm	Mean	(S ₁) 60x40 cm	(S ₂) 60x30 cm	(S ₃) 60x20 cm	Mean	(S ₁) 60x40 cm	(S ₂) 60x30 cm	(S ₃) 60x20 cm	Mean	(S ₁) 60x40 cm	(S ₂) 60x30 cm	(S ₃) 60x20 cm	Mean
(F ₁) 40:60:40	131.06	130.85	138.62	133.51	3280.03	3083.52	2568.24	2977.27	26.77	26.00	26.10	24.58	2300.23	2311.60	2736.47	3083.65
(F ₂) 60:75:60	133.91	134.64	140.96	136.50	4469.29	3560.81	3265.10	3765.07	23.60	23.80	24.77	24.69	4110.87	2987.63	2965.74	3354.75
(F ₃) 80:90:80	143.88	150.37	154.68	149.64	4528.45	4190.05	3613.02	4110.51	23.37	22.23	24.47	25.36	4237.13	4909.12	3352.17	4166.14
	136.28	138.62	144.75	139.89	4092.59	3611.46	3148.79	3617.61	26.29	24.73	23.60	24.87	4183.63	3402.78	3018.12	3534.84
CD (P=0.05) to compare spacing treatments at same level of fertilizers	2.47					200	200.36			0.85			200.36			
F-test		;	*				*		* *					k		
CD (P=0.05) to compare spacing treatments at same or different levels of fertilizers	2.31					200	5.41		0.81			206.41				
F-test		2	k				*			:	*		*			

* - Significant at P = 0.05 NS - Non significant at P = 0.05

Naik *et al*

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (4): 744-750 (2018)

 Table 5. Interaction effects of different levels of mulching x spacing x fertilizer on vegetative parameters in ornamental sunflower

Mulch (Main	iing x Spacing x Fertili factor x Sub factor x S	zer interaction Sub-sub factor)	At 60 DAS						
Mulching levels	Spacing levels	Fertilizer levels	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm ²)	Number of leaves	Leaf area (cm²)			
		(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	133.71	3459.33	26.93	4444.67			
	(S1) 60 cm x 40 cm	(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	135.14	4597.39	26.13	4051.80			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	148.73	4642.97	26.80	4088.13			
		(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	133.25	3269.47	25.00	2228.27			
(\mathbf{M}_1) With mulch	(S ₂) 60 cm x 30 cm	(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	138.39	4143.28	24.53	4038.73			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	158.07	4558.80	24.80	5328.23			
	(S ₃) 60 cm x 20 cm	(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	142.51	2899.86	25.00	3850.60			
		(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	144.54	4111.50	21.67	3930.94			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	162.43	4508.39	25.80	4090.00			
	(S ₁) 60 cm x 40 cm	(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	128.41	3100.73	26.60	3961.09			
		(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	132.68	4341.19	25.87	4169.93			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	139.02	4413.93	25.80	4386.13			
(M.)Without		(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	128.46	2897.57	22.20	2394.93			
mulch	(S ₂) 60 cm x 30 cm	(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	130.89	2978.34	23.07	1936.53			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	142.67	3821.31	24.73	4490.00			
		(F1) 40:60:40 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	134.73	2236.62	21.73	1622.33			
	(S ₃) 60 cm x 20 cm	(F ₂) 60:75:60 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	137.39	2418.71	22.80	2000.54			
		(F ₃) 80:90:80 NPK kg ha ⁻¹	146.93	2717.65	24.60	2614.33			
	Mean		139.89	3617.61	24.87	3534.84			
CD (P=0.05) to compare mulching x spacing x fertilizer levels			4.20	351.00	1.50	489.11			
F-test			*	*	*	*			

* - Significant at P = 0.05

REFERENCES

- Bhattacharjee and Vinayananda, S., Dahlia In: *Commercial flowers*. Eds. Bose, T. K. and Yadav, L. P., *Naya Prakash*, Calcutta. P: 545-572 (1989).
- Deepa, S., Studies on the influence of plant density and nutrition on growth, seed yield, quality and storability of china aster cv. Poornima (*Callistephus chinensis* (L) Nees.), *M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Agri. Sci.* Bengaluru (2007).
- Dorajirao, A. V. D., Mokashi, A. N., Patil, V. S., Venugopal, C. K., Lingaraju, S. and Koti, R. V., Effect of plant spacing on growth, yield and benefit-cost ratio in garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). J. Asian Hort., 6 (3): 87-93 (2010).
- Gavhane, P. B., Kore, V. N., Dixit, A. J. and Gondhali, B. V., Effect of graded doses of fertilizers and polythene mulches on growth, flower quality and yield of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa narangi gainda. *The Ori. J. of Hort.*, 32(1): 35-37 (2004).

NS - Non significant at P = 0.05

- Hemalatha, R., Effect of spacing and fertigation on growth and yield of bachelors button (*Gomphrena globosa* L.), *M.Sc. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci. Dharwad (2010).
- Kumari, V. R., Studies on agrotechniques and integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality in gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* L.) cv. American Beauty. *M.Sc. Thesis*, Univ. Agri. Sci. Bengaluru (2011).
- Mane, P. K., Bankar, G. H. And Makne, S. S., Effect of spacing, bulb size and depth of planting on growth and bulb production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*) cv. Single. *I. J. of Agri. Res.*, 40(1): 64-67 (2006).
- Munikrishnappa, P. M., Standardization of production technology in china aster (*Callistephus chinesis* Nees.) under transitional tract of Northern Karnataka. *PhD. Thesis Univ. Agri. Sci. Dharwad* (2011).
- 9. Oyinlola, E. Y., Ogunwole, J. O. and Amapu, I. Y., Response of sunflower

Copyright © July-August, 2018; IJPAB

(*Helianthus annuus* L.) to nitrogen application in a savanna alfisol. *Helia*, **33** (**52**): 115-126 (2010).

Naik *et al*

- Qahar, A., Khan, Z. H., Hayat, B. and Ullah, H., Nitrogen use efficiency, yield and other characteristics of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hybrids as affected by different levels of nitrogen. *Bio Di Con.*, **146:** 121-125 (2010).
- Shekhawat, T. K., Shivay, Y. S. and Kumar, D., Productivity and nutrient up take of spring sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) as influenced by nitrogen sources, sulphur and boron levels. *Ind. J. of Agril. Sci.*, **78**(1): 90-94 (2008).
- Siddanagowda, N. A., Response of sunflower hybrid (cv. DH 1) to ratios and levels of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium. *M.Sc. Thesis.* Univ. Agric. Sci. Dharwad (2003).

- Sunitha, H. M., Effect of plant population, nutrition, pinching and growth regulators on plant growth, seed yield and quality of African marigold (*Tagetus erecta* L.). *M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci. Bengaluru* (2006).
- Sushma, H. E., Reddy, B. S., Kulkarni, B. S. and Patil, C. P., Effect of spacing and inorganic nutrients on growth, flowering and nutrient status in heliconia (*Heliconia sp.*). *Kar. J. Agril. Sci.*, **25**(4): 485-487 (2012).
- Yathindra, H. A., Effect of plastic mulching and fertigation on growth, yield and flower quality of china aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees), *Ph.d. Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci. Bengaluru (2009).